

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.	
				EXAMINER	
				•	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				23	
			DATE MAILED:		
		EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY REC	CORD		
All participants (applic	ant, applicant's representa	utive, PTO personnel):			
lanca	(05022)	(3) Amy	NElson		
(1) <u>Laura</u>	<u> </u>	(3) (3)			
(2) Jacque	fline Benn	(4)			
Date of intensions					
_		ren to □ applicant □ applicant's representative).			
••		Yes No. If yes, brief description:			
Exhibit shown or demi	oristration conducted.	165 E No. II yes, bitel description.		<u>-</u>	
Agroomont Dwgg re	anahad with raspect to sar	ne or all of the claims in question. 🗹 was not reach	ned		
Agreement was re	A				
Claims discussed:	All				
Identification of prior a	urt discussed:				
- Continue and a property					
			Δ		
Description of the gen	eral nature of what was aç	greed to if an agreement was reached, or any other c	comments: App	licats intends	
to file	CFR 1.129(3)	and win amond claims of	o revous	"therapeutic"	
and introd	duce limitation	s to avoid 102/103	rejections	075	
	^		, ,		
Walsh 1	reterence.				
(A fuller description, if	necessary, and a copy of	the amendments, if available, which the examiner ag	greed would render to	ne claims allowable must be	
,		ints which would render the claims allowable is availa		eof must be attached.)	
	•	de a separate record of the substance of the interview		AOT OFFICE ACTION IS NOT	
WAIVED AND MUST	INCLUDE THE SUBSTAN	to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RE NCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the rev given one month from this interview date to provide a	erse side of this form	i). If a response to the last Office	
☐ 2. Since the exa	aminer's interview summa	ry above (including any attachments) reflects a comp	elete response to each	h of the objections, rejections and	
requirements response req	that may be present in the juirements of the last Offic	e last Office action, and since the claims are now allow e action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a s	eparate record of the	substance of the interview unless	
	is also checked.	*	Amy Wah	. _	
PTOL-413 (REV. 2 -93)		Examiners	Signeture (



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington; D.C. 20231

050141 3001555					
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	Fil	RST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
	1.00 mg/s				:
					EXAMINER
				ART UNI	T PAPER NUMBER
					23
				DATE MAILED:	
•		EXAMINER INTER	VIEW SUMMARY REC	CORD	
All participants (applicant	, applicant's representat	ive, PTO personnei):			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Λ	NIELSON	
(1) <u>Laura</u>	-010221	<u></u>	(3) Amy	14513017	
(2) Jacquel	ine Benn		(4)		
Date of interview					
•••			applicant's representative).		
Exhibit shown or demons	tration conducted: Y	es . ⊡∕Ńo. If yes, brie	f description:		
Agreement	hed with respect to som	e or all of the claims in	question. 🛛 was not reach	ed.	·
Claims discussed:	AII				
Ciairis discussed.					,
Identification of prior art d	liscussed:				
	•				
				٨	1 1 1-
Description of the genera	I nature of what was ag	eed to if an agreement	was reached, or any other c	omments: A	olicats intends
4 13 1	FR 1,129(3)		ment claims of	o remove	"thesaprotic"
10 11,6	,			1:	•
nportui pue	ce limitation	s to avoi	d 102/103	rejections	0755
INAISH TE	ference.				
(A fuller description, if neg	cessary, and a copy of t	he amendments, if avai	lable, which the examiner ag he claims allowable is availa	reed would render to ble, a summary the	he claims allowable must be reof must be attached.)
			he substance of the interview		,
	• • • • •	•			AST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT
WAIVED AND MUST INC	LUDE THE SUBSTAN	CE OF THE INTERVIEV	V (e.g., items 1-7 on the reve s interview date to provide a	erse side of this forn	 If a response to the last Office
☐ 2. Since the exami	ner's interview summary	above (including any a	ttachments) reflects a compl	lete response to eac	ch of the objections, rejections and
requirements that response require	at may be present in the ements of the last Office	last Office action, and saction. Applicant is no	since the claims are now allo t relieved from providing a se	wable, this complete eparate record of the	ed form is considered to fulfill the e substance of the interview unles
box 1 above is a		· • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Anna Mal	J
PTOL-413 (REV. 2 -93)			Examiner's S	Signature	

PTOL-413 (REV. 2 -93)





Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview Must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§ 1.133 Interviews

....

- (b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be *filed* by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office actions as specified in § § 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)
- § 1.2 Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent and Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to the record the substance of the interview.

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form in an attachment to the form, the examiner should check a box at the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form will not be considered and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted.
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed.
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application office. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.